

conclA1

an arrangement configured to activate the request for taking control when at least two criteria relating to deceleration values are simultaneously satisfied.--.

---

REMARKS

This Preliminary Amendment cancels, without prejudice, claims 1 to 8 in the underlying PCT Application No. PCT/DE01/00552 and adds new claims 9 to 19. The new claims, inter alia, conform the claims to U.S. Patent and Trademark Office rules and do not add new matter to the application.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.121(b)(3), the Substitute Specification (including the Abstract, but without the claims) contains no new matter. The amendments reflected in the Substitute Specification (including Abstract) are to conform the Specification and Abstract to U.S. Patent and Trademark Office rules or to correct informalities. As required by 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.121(b)(3)(iii) and 1.125(b)(2), a Marked Up Version of the Substitute Specification comparing the Specification of record and the Substitute Specification also accompanies this Preliminary Amendment. Approval and entry of the Substitute Specification (including Abstract) is respectfully requested.

The underlying PCT Application No. PCT/DE01/00552 includes an International Search Report, dated June 15, 2001, a copy of which is included. The Search Report includes a list of documents that were considered in the underlying PCT application.

It is respectfully submitted that the subject matter of the present application is new, non-obvious, and useful. Prompt consideration and allowance of the application are respectfully requested.

Dated: 11/28/01

Respectfully submitted,

By: *Richard L. Mayer*  
Richard L. Mayer  
Reg. No. 22,490

KENYON & KENYON  
One Broadway  
New York, NY 10004  
(212) 425-7200

METHOD AND DEVICE FOR TRIGGERING A REQUEST FOR TAKING CONTROL  
IN ACC-CONTROLLED VEHICLES

[Background Information]

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention [starts from] relates to a method [as well as] and a device [according to the definition of the species of the two independent claims] for triggering a request for taking control (RTC) in vehicles having adaptive cruise control.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Methods and devices for regulating speed and/or acceleration [have been known for a long time] are conventional under the term "tempomat". Supplementing such a device with a sensor, which can recognize preceding vehicles [and possibly] and/or obstacles located in the direction of travel, is also known. [The device can thereby include] These devices may utilize, in the control of vehicle speed, not only [its] their own[, i.e.] internal traffic variables, but also traffic variables [in] of the surroundings. Such devices are denoted as adaptive or dynamic vehicle speed controllers[, in English as] or adaptive cruise control (ACC). Such an adaptive travel regulating system [is intended to] may be a convenient assistance to [the] a driver[, and therefore]. Therefore, the acceleration and deceleration dynamics, with which the control system activates the forward propulsion and the brakes of the vehicle, [are] may be limited. Furthermore, [an] the adaptive vehicle speed regulator neither should nor can relieve the driver of any responsibility[, but rather relieve him only]. Instead, the regulator may only relieve the driver of monotonous and tiring activities. Therefore, existing ACC

systems [are] may be deliberately [not] made [capable]  
incapable of independently initiating either sharp [braking  
nor indeed] or full braking, [although] even though the  
sensory system [is able to recognize] may be capable of  
5 recognizing dangerous situations. In these dangerous  
situations, [all] existing ACC systems provide a so-called  
request for taking control, which is activated when the  
maximum deceleration provided by the automatic system [is] may  
be no longer sufficient to avoid a collision. The request for  
10 taking control signals the driver acoustically, optically,  
haptically or kinesthetically that manual intervention using  
the brake pedal [is becoming] may become necessary[, since the  
system in its given design will no longer be able to master  
the situation before long]. In supplementary fashion, the  
15 driver has priority over the vehicle control system at [any  
time] all times, in that he [can] may operate the gas or brake  
pedal and override or deactivate the system, [and] thereby  
[put] putting the automatic drive control out of commission.

20 A fundamental description of such a device [was contained, for  
example,] is referred to in the paper "Adaptive Cruise Control  
- System Aspects and Development Trends," given by Winner,  
Witte et al., at SAE 96, February 26 to 29, 1996 in Detroit  
(SAE Paper No. 961010). [Here] The paper discusses the  
25 dynamic restriction of the system for the purpose of riding  
comfort [was described in detail].

The request for taking control [was] is mentioned in this  
article as possibly being an acoustic signal which is  
30 activated when no sufficient deceleration can be made  
available so as to react fittingly to the instantaneous  
situation.

One method and device for travel regulation are [known from DE  
35 195 44 923 A1. Among other things, this system has] described  
in German Published Patent Application No. 195 44 923. The

system includes a radar system and a vehicle speed sensor, from [whose] the measured values of which, an acceleration requirement signal is formed[, which]. This signal is then used to activate the throttle and the brakes (EGAS system). A  
5 limiter assures that the acceleration requirement signal does not exceed the range between a predefined maximum or minimum value, in order to guarantee a designated travel comfort to [the] vehicle passengers. In this system, the driver is notified [using] by a blinking light, a tone generator, a  
10 haptic device or a combination of these possibilities. These signal elements are activated when the current deceleration requirement of the vehicle exceeds or approximately reaches the maximum permissible deceleration for the vehicle, and the vehicle is subject to travel control at the same time.

15 [In EP] European Published Patent No. 0 348 691 [B1,] describes concepts for haptic signaling [are pointed out, however]. However, no method is described which points to a reference [to] for triggering a request for taking control.

20 [Description of the Present Invention, Object, Solution and Advantages ]

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[It is accordingly the] It is an object of the present  
25 invention to [develop] provide criteria with the aid of which the activation of a request for taking control [can] may be triggered, so that the frequency of false alarms [can] may be reduced to a [possible] minimum.

30 This object may be achieved by simultaneously satisfying [is achieved by the features of the main claims.

According to the present invention, this happens by] at least two criteria with respect to deceleration values [having to be  
35 simultaneously fulfilled] for activating the request for taking control. In [the later exemplary] one example

embodiment, [these are] the two criteria include inequalities with regard to the deceleration values  $a_{Sol1}$  and  $a_{Warn}$ , which [have to] must be fulfilled simultaneously before the request for taking control is activated. In this connection, the two

5 deceleration-related variables [are of such a nature that they] lead to as complete as possible a reduction in false alarms. Furthermore, the decision thresholds " $a_{MaxDecel} + Offset1$ " 221 [as well as] and " $a_{MaxDecel} + Offset2$ " 231 of these criteria are not [given,] provided as [they were up to

10 the present, by] constant threshold values[, but]. Instead, they are changed dynamically[, ] as a function of instantaneous values, such as vehicle speed.

[Corresponding to the situation in known systems,] The

15 acceleration requirement may be used for the activation of [the] actuators, for the setting of [the] a throttle [and] and/or for brake operation[, only]. In the [size of] present case, the acceleration requirement [is used: in the present case this] is denoted as  $a_{Warn}$ . If [this variable]  $a_{Warn}$

20 undershoots [the] a negative acceleration value which corresponds to the brake energizing hysteresis, the vehicle [is] may be decelerated[, the] using a braking force [depending on] in accordance with the absolute value of  $a_{Warn}$ . [Now, if] If short term error measurements appear, the system

25 [will perhaps] may trigger a request for taking control, even though the situation would not require it. In this manner, false alarms [are] may be created, which [can] may irritate the driver and make the system appear unsophisticated.

[For the solution of] To solve this problem, a second acceleration value is introduced, which is subsequently denoted as  $a_{Sol1}$ . This value  $a_{Sol1}$ , [just as] in addition to  $a_{Warn}$ , must undershoot a certain negative acceleration threshold, denoted as " $a_{MaxDecel} + Offset2$ " 231 [in the

30 exemplary embodiment, so that both criteria together trigger], before the request for taking control[. In this connection,

35

aSoll is the value which is] can be triggered. The value aSoll may be passed [on] to the brake control[,] or, in the case of propulsion, [is passed on] to the engine control, [and is there recalculated as the] where it may be used to recalculate  
 5 a desired engine torque. In order to impart comfort to the vehicle passengers, the value aSoll, which acts directly on the power train and the deceleration elements, [is] may be restricted in several ways. [Thus] For instance, the maximum admissible acceleration value [is] may be limited by a  
 10 positive [and also by] and/or a negative limiting value, so as to impart a comfortable riding sensation. Furthermore, the change over time of the acceleration value [is] may be bounded [in the] by limiter 103, in order to prevent [thereby the so-called] a "jolt" in response to a load alteration. [The]  
 15 Or, the two switching thresholds "aMaxDecel + Offset1" 221 and "aMaxDecel + Offset2" 231 for the input values aWarn and aSoll[, in response to whose undershooting the request for taking control 109 is triggered, can be changed during the operation, according to the present invention, so that the  
 20 switching threshold values can be set to] respectively, may be changed during vehicle operation in accordance with the instantaneous driving situation. [In this connection] For example, the value aMaxDecel [is] may be formed as a function of the instantaneous driving speed, [whereby, at different  
 25 speeds, one can also select] and the starting point of the deceleration can be selected differently for different speeds.

These innovations, according to an example embodiment of the present invention, may avoid false alarms of the ACC request  
 30 for taking control. If the system recognizes an object in the travel-path area of the vehicle, even for a very short duration[, for example] (e.g., through disturbances in the side lane or error measurements[, by using the measures of the present invention]), the request for taking control is no  
 35 longer triggered immediately, but rather braking is begun. If [this] the object disappears [again] before the instantaneous

deceleration  $a_{Sol1}$  corresponds to about the maximum  
 deceleration " $a_{MaxDecel} + Offset2$ " 231 available to the  
 system, braking is discontinued [again] without jolting, and  
 the vehicle continues under normal operation. However, if the  
 5 detected object does not disappear, and the instantaneous  
 deceleration approaches or reaches the maximum deceleration  
 " $a_{MaxDecel} + Offset2$ " available to the system, [or reaches  
 it,] the request for taking control 109 [is] may be triggered,  
 if the system [still] predicts that it can no longer  
 10 decelerate the vehicle in time or in sufficient measure.  
 [Experience has also shown that braking action, as a rule,  
 turns out very differently in the case of high speeds and in  
 the case of low speeds. That is why the present invention also  
 made the] Further, since braking action may be different at  
 15 high speeds as compared to low speeds, the system may control  
the automatic braking action of the ACC system [a function of]  
in accordance with the instantaneous speed, in order [thus] to  
 generate a braking action which corresponds to that of a  
 responsible driver. This [further yields the impression of]  
 20 may yield a comfortable and pleasant [travel, also] traveling  
experience, in view of the time gradient limitation of the  
 value  $a_{Sol1}$ .

[Description of the Drawings and the Exemplary Embodiment]

#### 25 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[In the following, an exemplary embodiment of the present  
 invention, as well as a possible functional sequence scenario,  
 which can occur during ACC operation, are explained with the  
 aid of two drawings.

30 Figure 1 shows] Figure 1 is a block diagram of an [exemplary]  
example embodiment according to the present invention.

Figure 2 [shows a possible] illustrates an example functional  
 35 sequence scenario which [can] may occur during operation of  
 [the] a vehicle under ACC, the sequence scenario being made up



of four partial diagrams [in], each of which plots one variable of the ACC system [is plotted against time.] versus time.

5 [Figure 1 shows an ACC system in a block diagram, in extract. What is shown in detail is]

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Figure 1 is a block diagram of an ACC system that represents how the decision to trigger the request for taking control is formed. The distance dZO between one's own and [the] a preceding vehicle, the relative speed of the target object vRelZO in relation to the preceding vehicle, [as well as] and the acceleration of the target object aZO enter as input variables into function block 101, in which the value aWarn is formed. [This] The formation of the value aWarn [can] may be accomplished by calculation [by means] of a mathematical formula or by storing a characteristics map or [a] table in block 101. In the case of [doing the calculation by] mathematical formula, aWarn [is advantageously] may be calculated from

$$aWarn = ((sign(vRelZO) (vRelZO)^2) / (2dWarn)) + aZO \quad (1)$$

where, in turn, the warning distance dWarn (the relative deceleration path) is calculated from

$$dWarn = (fWarn dZO) - Offset3 \quad (2)$$

fWarn is a factor [here,] which [can] may be either [be] definitely predefined as a parameter or variably calculated[; in the latter case it can preferably be], for example, in accordance with a [function of the] set time gap. Using this factor fWarn, for example, the time gap set by the driver or a travel program (comfortable, safe, economical, sporty, [...]) .... predefined by the driver [can] may be taken into consideration.

The value of aWarn thus calculated is then passed on to function block 105.

In function block 102, in a manner similar to [the one in] block 101, using the input variables distance dZ0, the relative speed of the target object vRelZ0 [as well as] and the acceleration of the target object aZ0, the value aSoll is formed. [This is done again, as] As in block 101, the formation of aSoll may be accomplished by [using a] mathematical formula or by storing characteristics maps or tables. The value aSoll thus formed is then routed to a limiter which limits [this] the value with respect to minimum or maximum values [as well as with respect to the] and a time-related acceleration change[, and routes it]. The limited value is then routed to decision block 106 as the value [aSetpointStar] aSollStar. At the same time, [this value aSetpointStar] aSollStar is passed on to the throttle control and the brake control, which are [marked] referred to in Figure 1 as "EGAS System", where they are [converted to] used in propulsion and braking systems. In function block 104 the maximum deceleration controllable by the ACC system, aMaxDecel, is formed[, and forwarded to decision blocks 105 and 106. The maximum deceleration controllable by the adaptive driving speed regulating system, "aMaxDecel + Offset2", is changed [there] in blocks 105 and 106 as a function of the instantaneous driving speed, so that the system provides, at all times, a dynamics region that is as great as possible but nevertheless comfortable.

In block 105 an inequality is monitored. [It is examined here] Block 105 determines whether the condition

$$aWarn < aMaxDecel + Offset1 \quad (3)$$

is fulfilled. If [this is the case] so, a signal is sent [in the appropriate manner] to [subsequently connected] AND

element 107 that the condition [that was to be] examined in block 105 is fulfilled. [In the same way] Similarly, decision block 106 [examines] determines whether the condition

5                   [aSetpointStar] aSollStar < aMaxDecel + Offset2  
(4)

is fulfilled, [whose variables are composed of the] using input values [aSetpointStar] aSollStar and aMaxDecel. [In case] If inequality (4) is fulfilled, decision block 106  
10 signals[, also in suitable fashion,] to AND element 107 that the trigger condition is fulfilled.

The offset values Offset1 and Offset2 are parameters [whereby]  
15 that allow the warning thresholds [according to equation] of equations (3) and [equation] (4) [can] to be further varied and optimized.

The AND element 107 monitors whether all inputs report  
20 simultaneously that the conditions of [preconnected] decision blocks 105 and 106 are fulfilled.

If [this is the case, then] so, AND element 107 signals the OR element 108 that the conditions for triggering the request for  
25 taking control are fulfilled. The OR element 108 signals [to] the request for taking control block 109 that the latter is to be triggered[, and that the driver is thereby notified that  
the comfortable braking of the system is not sufficient for obtaining enough deceleration.

30 [By the use of function] Function block 110, which is connected to one of the inputs of AND element 107, [as well as of] and function block 111, which is connected to an input of OR element 108, allow additional criteria to be considered  
35 with regard to activating the request for taking control [can be taken into consideration. ].

[Thus, the] The output of function block 110 is connected to the input of AND element 107. [This function 110 can expediently be monitoring] Block 110 may monitor the active operational state. [In this case,] For example, block 110  
 5 [would] may monitor the operational state of the ACC control and report [this to block 107 in suitable fashion. It would also be expedient to install] it to block 107. Further, block 110 may include a function of speed as an AND condition, which  
 10 [would] may permit activation of the request for taking control only when the vehicle fulfills certain speed requirements. This [has] may allow the [result that] activation of the taking-control signal [is indeed activated] only when the ACC control and regulating device [can] may actively control the gas and the brakes.

15 In the same [way, one can advantageously] manner, a self-diagnosing function may be used to determine whether the ACC control and regulating device is functioning properly[, by using a self-diagnosing function]. In case [this] the device  
 20 does not work without error, an output signal is generated in function block 111, which OR element 108 receives, [and finally causes] thereby causing the activation of the request for taking control. This arrangement guarantees that the driver is requested to take control in the case of operational  
 25 failure, and that the ACC control and regulating device can switch itself off safely[, following the activation of the brake pedal. Furthermore, [it is advantageous to check whether the sensor function is ensured. Thus, it is expedient to] the sensor function may be checked to ensure that it is properly  
 30 functioning. Further, the system may process a blindness recognition signal [or], a rain recognition signal, or [to process] a signal which brings about a warning of standing objects in one's own lane, during limited vision conditions, such as [in] fog.

Figure 2 illustrates [a scenario for a vehicle operated by an ACC, as can happen at any time in reality. This illustration is made up of 4 diagrams, drawn one below another, in which, in each case] an example functional sequence scenario which  
 5 may occur during operation of a vehicle under ACC. The example scenario includes four diagrams, each of which plots one  
 characteristic variable [is plotted] against time. In diagram 210, the distance to [the] target object dZ0 is plotted against time. In diagram 220, the warning acceleration aWarn  
 10 [was also] is plotted against time. The drawn-in borderline 221 [here] denotes a threshold value "aMaxDecel + Offset1"[, and when it]. When this threshold is exceeded, a corresponding [signaling] signal is passed on to AND element 107 in Figure 1.

15 In diagram 230, the restricted desired acceleration [aSetpointStar was] aSollStar is plotted against time. [This] The variable aSollStar is the variable which is also [has] passed [on] to [it a] the control for the electronically  
 20 controlled throttle[(EGAS) or an] (EGAS) or the electronically controlled brake. The drawn-in value 231 [here again] represents the threshold value "aMaxDecel+Offset2", at the undershooting of which a corresponding [signaling] signal is also passed on to AND element 107. In [the bottom] diagram  
 25 240, the request for taking control is represented as a digital signal. Here the transition from "0" to "1" [stands for] indicates the activation of a signal for the request for taking control [signal]. The pulse duration of the RTC(t) signal is a function of the duration of the taking-control  
 30 signal. When the signaling is ended, the RTC(t) curve [jumps back] transitions from "1" to "0".

The [4] four diagrams 210, 220, 230 and 240 are arranged in such a way that [the] their respective time lines run  
 35 parallel. [One can thereby represent places] Thus, the vertical dotted lines of Figure 2 each intersect the four time

lines at the same point in time [by vertical lines which are entered dotted in Figure 2. Special points in time are lettered as Latin letters a to f at the lower edge], each intersected point in time being labeled with Latin letters (a to f) at the bottom of Figure 2.

At point in time  $t=0$  in dZO-t diagram 210, a certain constant distance  $dZO(t=0)$  [prevails between] separates the ACC-controlled vehicle and the preceding vehicle [which is held constant].

At point in time  $t=a$  an additional object of reflection suddenly appears [from nowhere, which is] at a very short distance from the ACC-controlled vehicle, is detected for only a very short time, and then disappears [again just as] suddenly. In this case, the system tries to make available a strong deceleration which is far below warning threshold 221 of the aWarn-t diagram 220. [Because of that] As a result, block 105 in Figure 1 passes a corresponding signal [on] to AND element 107. Signal [aSetpointStar] aSollStar, which also controls the propulsion and brake elements, is created essentially in the same way as aWarn, the only difference being that [aSetpointStar] aSollStar is limited as to a maximum value as well as a gradient. Thus jumps, steep transitions [as well as] and values great in amount are excluded [as far as aSetpointStar is concerned] from the calculation of aSollStar. Until the desired end values for [aSetpointStar] aSollStar are adjusted, a certain time lapses[, which is why this signal can]. Thus, aSollStar may be denoted as being inert or delaying compared to aWarn. In the [aSetpointStar] aSollStar-t diagram 230 the gradient for the curve tangents[, is sketched] in each case [as] is a gradient triangle. Thus the gradient of gradient triangles 232 is equal in amount to the maximum possible gradient, since [in the exemplary case] at time point  $t=a$ , at least the maximum deceleration controllable by the ACC[, or even more]

is required. The deceleration requirement at point  $t=a$  lasts only a very short time, so that the curve in [aSetpointStar] aSollStar-t diagram 230 does not reach triggering threshold 231. Thus, no triggering signal is [given] sent by block 106 to AND element 107 [either, which is why activating the request for taking control does not occur, and ]and, thus, the RTC-t curve in 240 remains at "0." As a result, the request for taking control is not activated.

Between the two time points  $t=b$  and  $t=c$ , the preceding vehicle applies its brakes gently. [It follows that point] Point  $t=b$  is the starting point in time of this gentle brake maneuver and [that] point  $t=c$  is the end point in time of this brake maneuver. The distance  $dZO$  in diagram 210 decreases during this time, until the brake maneuver is [closed] ended at point in time  $t=c$ . The deceleration values  $aWarn$  in diagram 220 are so small in amount between  $t=b$  [to] and  $t=c$  that triggering threshold 221 is not reached, [which means logically that] since braking is so slight that the brake dynamics region of the ACC system is sufficient for a corresponding deceleration. In the [aSetpointStar] aSollStar-t diagram 230 this becomes noticeable in that the curve takes a flatter course, and the tangent having gradient triangle 233 is also flatter than in the situation at point  $t=a$ . Since the ACC system [was] is able to make available sufficient deceleration [in the case] from  $t=b$  to  $t=c$ , in the case of this gentle braking [a request for taking control is also not activated], [and so] the curve in the RTC-t diagram 240 [still remains at "0".] remains at "0", and, therefore, a request for taking control is not activated.

[In the following] Between time points  $t=c$  and  $t=d$ , the preceding vehicle accelerates [again], which becomes noticeable by the increase in distance  $dZO$  and the decrease of the deceleration.

At point  $t=d$ , the preceding vehicle decelerates again, but [not] very strongly this time. The value of  $aWarn$  immediately darts downwards and crosses the triggering threshold 221 of  $aWarn$ . The value of  $[aSetpointStar]$   $aSollStar$  drops off at the maximum steepness 232 possible, and reaches triggering threshold " $aMaxDecel + Offset2$ " 231 at point in time  $t=e$ .

As of [this] point in time  $t=e$ , both triggering criteria are simultaneously fulfilled, and triggering the request for taking control takes place as described in Figure 1, by the AND element 107 and the OR element 108. [This is illustrated] Activation of the request is represented in the RTC-t diagram 240 by the [curve jumping] transition from "0" to "1" at point  $t=e$ . At this point in time  $[e]$ , the driver is informed that the deceleration of the ACC system is not sufficient to prevent a collision.

At time point  $t=f$  the driver [decides to step] steps on the brake pedal in order to achieve a greater deceleration than [could] may be made available by the ACC system. As the driver intervenes by braking at point  $t=f$ , the ACC system is simultaneously deactivated.

Triggering thresholds 221 and 231[, as was mentioned before, are not fixed,] are not constant values, but rather are variable thresholds, [and can] which may be made functions of parameters such as speed. [Curves] However, curves " $aWarn(t)$ " 220 and " $aSoll(t)$ " 230 are normalized in each case [on] with respect to thresholds 221 and 231, for the purpose of making [it] Figure 2 more understandable[, so that the ]. The normalization causes the variable thresholds themselves [appear as a constant value, that is] to appear as constant values on the diagrams of Figure 2 (i.e., as horizontals in the diagram).



The calculation of aWarn [takes] may take into consideration not only the necessity of reducing the present relative speed within the distance available dWarn, but also the absolute deceleration of the target object which has to be additionally  
 5 produced to avoid a collision. The value dWarn [can] may further be modified by a factor fWarn, to take into account the time gap or a driving program predefined by the driver.

If the request for taking control is triggered [as] at time  
 10 point  $t=e$ , [it can] the system may either alarm the driver for a fixed, definite time period, or it [can] may alarm the driver until the triggering criteria are no longer fulfilled. [Of necessity] Necessarily, the request has to be activated for a minimum time, since even during a very short alarm  
 15 period [of], the alarm [, this] must be noticeable to the driver and clearly understandable. [It is also expedient to have] Further, the system may also require a minimum time period to elapse between two requests for taking control, so as not to overload the driver with ACC alarms.

[However, besides] Beside changing the request for taking control by [such] time conditions, one [can] may also do it as a function of distance conditions. [Thus, it is expedient, for] For example, [that] a request for taking control that is  
 25 once activated [has to] may remain [so] until a minimum distance from the target object has been achieved [again,] or until the distance from the target object [is getting larger again] increases.

In the RTC-t diagram illustrated in Figure 2, the deactivation of the request for taking control in the form of a negative transition from "1" to "0" is not shown, since this would have a different profile depending on time duration and resetting conditions.

By the use of the measures described in one of the mentioned [types of embodiment, it is possible drastically to reduce] example embodiments, the probability of a false activation of the ACC request for taking control may be drastically reduced.

5 The motor vehicle driver [can] may, thereby, have more trust in the request for taking control than [up to now,] in conventional systems, and [in that case] the request for taking control will be received more meaningfully at the same time.

10

[Abstract]

ABSTRACT

[A] In a method and a [corresponding] device [are proposed]  
5 for triggering a request for taking control (RTC), [which  
signals] a driver of a vehicle having adaptive cruise control  
is signaled that [the driving situation can probably not be  
controlled any more by] the adaptive cruise control system may  
not be capable of controlling a driving situation, and that  
10 the driver [has to intervene. By the monitoring of ]may have  
to intervene, the signaling of the driver being generated in  
accordance with at least two [or a plurality of] vehicle  
variables [which are causal in triggering the RTC,], whereby  
the probability of a false alarm by the system is reduced, and  
15 the triggering of the RTC is adapted to the instantaneous  
vehicle speed.

[

Figure 1]